

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,332	03/12/2004	Simon Peter Edwards	2002-016	9013
759	90 06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
Terry L. Miller 24832 Via San Fernando			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
Mission Viejo, CA 92692			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3,			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			5 V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	10/800,332	EDWARDS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven O. Douglas	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, fix NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. In. In reply within the statutory minimum of thirteriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 March 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- ,,	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	roign priority under 25 LLS C. S	110(a) (d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)	∧ □	Ummoni (BTÖ 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 —·)

Application/Control Number: 10/800,332

Art Unit: 3751

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Specie I. (Figures 1-10), Specie II (Figures 11-12), Specie III (Figures 13-21), Specie IV (Figures 22-27), Specie V (Figures 28-30), Specie VI (Figures 31-35), and Specie VII (Figures 36-45a).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3751

A telephone call was made to Mr. Terry L. Miller and a message was left on 6-20-05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. However, due to the complexity of the Election Examiner informed Applicant that a written communication would be forth coming.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

NOTE: It is acknowledged that Applicant has made an attempt to remedy the existing claim numbering problems with the preliminary amendment filed 3-12-04. However, upon inspection of the amended listing of claims Examiner has taken notice to the fact that there now exists two claims numbered 12 and claims 41 and 42 now do not exist. Examiner suggests Applicant to cancel all claims 1-43 and substitute a new set of claims starting with a new claim 44 in order to avoid confusion.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD 6-20-05